

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

COLORQUICK, L.L.C.,

Plaintiff,

v.

VISTAPRINT LIMITED,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

No. 6:09-cv-323

JURY DEMANDED

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge.

Question No. 1 – Infringement

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Vistaprint directly infringes any of the following claims of the '149 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

Answer “YES” (for ColorQuick) or “NO” (for Vistaprint) as to each claim.

Claim 1 No

Claim 2 No

Claim 3 No

Claim 9 No

Claim 11 No

Claim 12 No

Question No. 2 – Invalidity

Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '149 patent are invalid under the doctrine of obviousness?

Answer “YES” (for Vistaprint) or “NO” (for ColorQuick) as to each claim.

Claim 1 No

Claim 2 No

Claim 3 No

Claim 9 No

Claim 11 No

Claim 12 No

Answer the question below if and only if you find there are claims infringed by Vistaprint that are not invalid.

Question No. 3 – Damages

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Colorquick for Vistaprint's past infringement? Only award damages for those claims you find infringed by Vistaprint and not proven invalid by Vistaprint.

Answer: \$ _____

Signed this 23rd day of June, 2011

JURY FOREPERSON